

Meeting Summary

Nevada State Board of Cosmetology Law Review Sub-Committee Meeting

Las Vegas, Nevada
Carson City, Nevada
June 10, 2013

This document is a summary of what occurred at the meeting. An audio recording of this meeting is available for listening on our website:

www.cosmetology.nv.gov

Members Present: Perry Nixdorf, Board Member
Linda Zesiger, Treasurer
Jeannette Bonaldi, Board Member

The meeting was called to order at 9:01 a.m by Perry Nixdorf.

The following are the descriptions of the agenda items, the actions taken and the vote on those items.

1. Call to Order/Roll Call

2. Comments by general public.

Amy Christensen - Paul Mitchell The School Reno

Brought a sample of the breakdown of hours reducing the current Cosmetology requirement from 1800 hours to 1600 hours as requested from Board Meeting on May 6, 2013

3. Consideration and approval of the Agenda and its posting. (For Possible Action)

Action taken: Approved Agenda and its posting

Motion: Linda Zesiger

Second: Perry Nixdorf

Vote: Ayes: 2

Nays: 0

Abstentions: 0

4. Review and possibly formulate revisions for submission to the 2015 legislature to NRS 644.0233 "Cosmetologist's apprentice" defined. - This Statute may be too broad and require redefining. While there are definitions for Cosmetologist's apprentice and Electrologist's apprentice there are no provisions made for Hair Designer, Nail Technician, or Aesthetician's apprentices, which are also licenses available under NRS statutes. They should be included and provisions made for them within the General Provisions definitions of NRS 644.02XX. Other NRS statutes that may be affected and possibly revised are: 644.190, 644.204, 644.205, 644.207, 644.210, 644.217, 644.2175, 644.408, 644.430. (For Possible Action)

Actions taken:

Recommendation to create new and separate NRS statutes for Aesthetician Apprentice, Hair Designer Apprentice and Nail Technology Apprentice.

The first creation will be to "define" each Apprentice to mirror NRS 644.0233 the same way as it defines a Cosmetologist Apprentice.

Public Comment:

Amy Christensen – Paul Mitchell The School-Reno
"Cosmetology" Apprentice would define all of the Apprentices

The second creation will be to add to NRS 644.204, NRS 644.205, and NRS 644.207 to include the qualifications for each Apprentice.

The hours of training required will be: Aesthetician Apprentice = 1800 hrs/
Hair Designer Apprentice = 2400 hrs / Nail Technology Apprentice = 1200 hrs
Each Apprentice will be under the direct supervision of a licensed professional from each field. The certificate of registration will be valid for 12 months (Aesthetician), 19 months (Hair Designer), and 10 months (Nail Technologist) from the date of issuance, but may be renewed.

The required travel distance of 60 miles or more from a licensed school (NRS 644.217) as a prerequisite for eligibility into the Apprentice program will stay the same.

Public Comment:

1. Amy Christensen – Paul Mitchell The School – Reno
Less than 60 miles radius would deprive local schools from student enrolment
2. Rodney Moore – Carson City Beauty Academy
Apprentices should be under qualified "Instructors" as some professionals may not be qualified to teach. Less than 60 mile radius will take away from schools.
3. Marianne Richter – Euphoria - Aliante Campus
Less than 60 mile radius would be the destruction of a school

4. Sandy Dunham – Academy of Hair Design
Doubts that Apprentices would be getting the proper training as they do from Instructors.
The Apprentice Program has not been a large success in the past and wonders what would happen to the Apprentice if the supervising professional moved to another salon.
5. Gloria Alexander – Office Manager – Board of Cosmetology
There are only three (3) Apprentices at the moment. Most don't finish the program mostly due to the amount of required hours.
6. Gwen Braimoh – Expertise Cosmetology School
Unless the 60 mile radius stays in place, there will be a creation of multiple schools inside salons without any set curriculum.
Keeping the 60 mile radius would be fair to schools who offer flexible hours.

There will not be any recommendation to create a definition for a Hair Braider Apprentice.

Table recommendations for NRS 644.408 at this time.

More research will be conducted and this statute will be discussed again at a later meeting. The focus will be to establish a minimum amount of hours for an Apprentice before they are allowed to work on the public. The validity of the Apprenticeship program will not be the topic of discussion.

Public Comment:

1. Willia Chaney – Expertise Cosmetology School
Apprentices should have a minimum requirement of training before working on the public just as students have to in a school
2. Jackie Hollie – Marinello School – Bonanza Campus
without a minimum amount of hours before working on the public, who would be accountable for the safety of the clients
3. Gwen Braimoh – Expertise Cosmetology School
There should be some requirements, even as low as 50 hours, before an Apprentice can begin working on the public, even under direct supervision.
4. Gloria Alexander – Office Manager – Board of Cosmetology
Research why the Apprentice program was stopped in the first place in the State of Nevada. An example of why it was stopped was that family members would go into salons to help out without having any training
5. Amy Christensen – Paul Mitchell The School- Reno
The Cosmetology supervisor should be the one responsible for setting the minimum hour requirement for the Apprentice since that person is responsible for teaching the proper technique

6. Mark DeCola – Euphoria Institute – Henderson Campus

Since the Apprentice is required to do double the amount of hours than a student in a school, then that should dictate the total amount of hours required before working on the public. (twice the amount than a student)

Motion: by Linda Zesiger to table further discussion on NRS 644.408 to a future meeting

Second: Jeannette Bonaldi

Vote: Ayes: 3

Nays: 0

Abstentions: 0

Add “Cosmetology Apprentice” to NRS 644.430 1.(a)

The Inspection and Licensing Departments will review all recommendations prior to presenting these recommendations to the Board.

Perry Nixdorf directed Gary Landry (Executive Director) to see a print copy of everything that was said regarding any additions or alterations as discussed, highlighting the changes or alterations, prior to making any motion to approve them.

5. Review and possibly formulate revisions for submission to the 2015 legislature to NRS 644.130 Record of licenses; disclosure of information and NRS **644.300 Notice to Secretary of change of location.** - The requirements of these laws are not practical and difficult to enforce given the number of licensees and the transient nature of these individuals. These statutes may need to be revised to reflect the current environment of the profession. (For Possible Action)

Action Taken: NRS 644.130

Replace “known place of business” with “known places of business”
(add an “S” to place)

NRS 644.300

Add “Cosmetology Apprentice” after “hair braider” and before “demonstrator of Cosmetics”

Replace every place where there is “Secretary” with “the Board”

6. Review and possibly formulate revisions for submission to the 2015 legislature to NRS 644.095 Approval of device used in cosmetology. - This statute may be antiquated and in need of revision or elimination. (For Possible Action)

Action taken: Changing the purpose of NRS 644.095 to be
"banning" a device used in Cosmetology

Suggested wording = "the Board may ban any device for use in cosmetology for good reason or whether the device is outside the scope of practice of cosmetology"

7. Review and possibly formulate revisions for submission to the 2015 legislature to NRS 644.170 Deposit of fees and receipts; expenditures; delegation of authority to take disciplinary action; deposit of fines imposed by Board; claims for attorney's fees and costs of investigation. - Subsection 1 may be antiquated and may need revision to reflect the current operating environment of the State Board of Cosmetology.

Action taken: NRS 644.170 (1.) Remove the "Treasurer" of the Board and leave only "must be paid to the Board"

- 8.. Comments by general public.

No public comments

9. Adjournment. (For Possible Action)

Action taken: Adjourned

Motion: Jeannette Bonaldi

Second: Linda Zesiger

Vote: Ayes: 3

Nays: 0

Abstentions: 0